

City of Umatilla, Oregon

700 Sixth Street P.O. Box 130 Umatilla, OR 97882 I (541) 922-3226 x104

Agency Contact: Melissa Ince

SUMMARY OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) AND INTERVIEW

RE: THE CITY OF UMATILLA, OREGON (CITY) DESIRES TO SELECT A CONSTRUCTION MANAGER GENERAL CONTRACTOR (CMGC) FOR THE NEW POLICE STATION PROJECT

All Interested Respondents and Associated General Contractors of Oregon, Washington, Idaho:

The City is hereby releasing a Request for Proposals (RFP), soliciting Statements of Qualifications and performing interviews from interested CMGCs in accordance with City and Oregon Department of Administrative Services (ODAS) requirements, and the Oregon State Revised Statute 279C.337; 279C.360; and 279C.365. The City is seeking an Oregon licensed, experienced and qualified CMGC to perform preconstruction engineering phase support and construction phase services in 2024-25 for their estimated \$15-16M New Police Station Project located adjacent to the existing police station on 6th Street. On August 20, 2024, the City Council held a public hearing and approved the contract bidding exemption status by ODAS to procure a CMGC to perform the work.

Questions pertaining to this RFP shall be presented in writing to: Melissa Ince - melissa.ince@umatilla.gov

Note: The CMGC shall have no additional contact with the City, unless specified in the RFP. All materials submitted by Respondents are subject to public records requests. All Respondents are encouraged to make site visits and review supplemental information made available for review at this link: https://www.umatilla-city.org/rfps



Conceptual Rendering



Table of Contents

1.	Proje	ct Information	4
1	1.1	RFP and Project Schedule	4
1	1.2	Project Definitions	4
1	1.3	Overview and Project Background	4
1	1.4	Budget and Funding	4
2.	Propo	osal Process	5
2	2.1	Evaluation of Proposals	5
2	2.2	Questions	5
2	2.3	Changes to RFP	5
2	2.4	Pre-proposal Meeting	5
2	2.5	Addenda to the RFP	5
2	2.6	Submission of Proposals	5
2	2.7	Submittal Guidelines and Contents	6
2	2.8	CMGC Scoring	7
2	2.9	Interviews	7
2	2.10	Selection and Award	7
2	2.11	Obligation to Award	8
2	2.12	Amend or Withdraw Proposal	8
3.	Qualit	fications	8
3	3.1	Experience / Responsibilities:	8
4.	Scope	e of Work	8
4	1.1	CMGC Kickoff	8
4	1.2	Design Phases	8
5.	Fee F	Proposal	9
5	5.1	Preconstruction Services:	g
5	5.2	Construction Services:	g
6.	Contr	act Terms and Conditions1	1
6	6.2	Non-Discrimination	11
6	3.3	General Conditions	11
6	6.4	Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP)	12
6	6.5	Multiple Bid Packages	12
6	6.6	City-Controlled Contingency	12
6	6.7	License Requirements	12
6	8.8	Insurance	12
6	6.9	Bonding	13
6	6.10	Performance of Services	13



	6.11	Schedule	13
	6.12	Questions from Respondents	13
	6.13	Requests for Clarification by City	13
	6.14	Limitations of CMGC Selection	14
	6.15	Key Employee(s)	14
	6.16	Proposal Preparation Costs	14
	6.17	Notice to Proceed	14
	6.18	Applicable City, State and Federal Regulations	14
	6.19	Debarment	
	6.20	Protest Procedures	14
	6.21	Rights of the City	14
	6.22	Confidential/Proprietary Proposal Material	15
7.	RFP	and Interview Grading	
8.	Interv	riew Phase	
	8.1	Evaluation Criteria	17
9.	Appe	ndices	



1. Project Information

1.1 RFP and Project Schedule

Dates for the RFP process and anticipated milestones for the project are outlined below.

RFP Advertised	August 26, 2024
Pre-Proposal Conference (optional)	September 9th, 2024 at 10 am PST
Questions Due	September 11, 2024 by 4 pm PST
Proposal Due	September 18th, 2024 by 2 pm PST
Invite Contractors for Interview	September 25th, 2024
Interviews (tentative)	October 8th, 2024
Notify Proposers of Final Selection	October 8th, 2024
City Council CMGC Award Preconstruction Services	October 15th, 2024

1.2 Project Definitions

The following definitions will be used throughout this document:

Term	Definition
CMGC	Construction Manager General Contractor. The selected CMGC Respondent with which City will
CIVIGC	negotiate a contract.
CITY	City of Umatilla Oregon
CITY'S Architects	Mackenzie
GMP	Guaranteed Maximum Price
CMGC PRIME	Principal Contractor responsible for performing preconstruction design support and construction.
PERSON	Any individual, corporation, company, voluntary association, partnership, trust, unincorporated
FERSON	organization, or joint venture.
RESPONDENT	A person, corporation, partnership, sole proprietorship, or other legal entity submitting a propo
NESF ONDENT	response to this RFP.
RFC	Request for Clarification
RFP	Request for Proposal
SIGNATORY AUTHORITY	Person who holds authority to commit the CMGC Team
SOQ	Statement of Qualifications, proposal, or submittal
QBS	Qualifications Based Selection

1.3 Overview and Project Background

The City of Umatilla (City) desires to construct a new police facility adjacent to their existing station on 6th Street. The City hired Mackenzie as the architect and design professional for the project. The project team has recently completed the schematic design phase and the project is estimated to have a construction value of \$15-16M. The City desires to bring a CMGC on board to assist with identifying value engineering opportunities and help mitigate risks with long lead material procurement. Additionally, coordination of phasing the existing station to remain operational during construction of the new facility is imperative.

The schematic design drawings and preliminary project schedule are in Appendix D & E.

1.4 Budget and Funding

- 1.4.1 The Opinion of Probable Construction Cost for the Project is \$15-16M which includes the CMGC's Preconstruction Phase Services fees, construction, and contingencies. The City, the City's Architect and the CMGC agree to work together to keep the cost of the Project within the Project budget.
- **1.4.2** The City is evaluating funding mechanisms for the construction costs of the project. The City intends to either pursue a general obligation bond or full faith and credit bonds.



2. Proposal Process

For the RFP and Interview, a Qualifications Based Selection (QBS), the City requests that interested CMGC respondents do the following:

2.1 Evaluation of Proposals

Proposals may be evaluated by an evaluation committee comprised of City representatives, members of the design team, and technically oriented members-at-large. The evaluation will be in accordance with RFP and Interview Grading section and may include requests by the team for additional information and interviews to determine and clarify the experience and responsibility of the proposer. The evaluation team will make a recommendation to the City council, who will make the final decision to select and negotiate with the CMGC.

2.2 Questions

Questions pertaining to this RFP must be received in advance of the questions/clarifications deadline and shall be presented in writing to: Melissa Ince - melissa.ince@umatilla.gov

Questions will be compiled and collectively addressed in writing prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

2.3 Changes to RFP

The City reserves the right to make changes to the RFP. Changes will be made by written addendum.

2.4 Pre-proposal Meeting

- 2.4.1 Attend an optional preproposal conference to be held at the Umatilla Police Station. See project schedule for date and time.
- **2.4.2** The purpose of the conference includes the following:
 - Provide the Respondents with an understanding of the RFP and interview procurement process.
 - Allow Respondents to better understand the existing site conditions, utilities, and planned phasing of the project.
 - Respondents are not permitted to seek or obtain commitments from the City in the meeting. No part of the evaluation of Proposals will be based on conduct or discussions that occur during this discussion.
 - Nothing stated during the meeting or included in a written record or summary of the meeting modifies the RFP unless it is incorporated by Addendum.
 - Official minutes for the discussions will not be kept.
 - This meeting is not intended to be a marketing meeting for Respondents. Discussions should focus on the aspects of the Project.

2.5 Addenda to the RFP

- 2.5.1 Only those clarifications or interpretations of the documents that have been issued by written addenda by the City will be official. The City reserves the right to issue Addenda at any time during the period of the period of procurement. Other clarifications given during the submittal process by the City to Respondent's questions will be considered informal and unofficial. The City shall not be held responsible for oral interpretations. Should any apparent discrepancies, omissions, or doubt as to meaning be found in the document, the Respondent must notify the point-of-contact person listed in the RFP at once.
- **2.5.2** The Respondent shall acknowledge receipt of addenda on the Submittal Forms in Appendix A. Failure to provide acknowledgment may result in the submittal being rejected as not responsive.

2.6 Submission of Proposals

- **2.6.1** Prepare a SOQ in eight (8) pages or less with a minimum 10 pt. font and 1/2-inch margins. Covers and cover letter not included in page limit. Additional backup information requested in the RFP also not included in the page limit.
- 2.6.2 Respondents to submit SOQs (20 MB or less) via email or turn in electronic copy directly on USB flash drive before the submittal due date at the City to the attention Melissa Ince. If the proposal is greater than 20 MB or more, please contact Ms. Ince to deliver an electronic copy prior to the deadline. A receipt confirmation acceptance email will be sent to each Respondent. Do not email the



Proposal to anyone other than Ms. Ince.

Melissa Ince, CPA |Finance Director

P: 541.922.3226 x104 F: 541.922.5708

E: melissa.ince@umatilla.gov W: http://www.umatilla-city.org/

City of Umatilla |P.O. Box 130| Umatilla, OR

97882

2.7 Submittal Guidelines and Contents

- **2.7.1** These guidelines have been developed to assist the Respondent in preparing a proposal to the City's RFP. Submitting a response to the RFP starts the selection process.
- **2.7.2** The purpose of these guidelines is to ensure consistency in format and content in the proposal prepared by the Respondents and submitted to the City.
- 2.7.3 Due to the number of firms that typically respond and the amount of time available for committee review, it is strongly recommended that the responses be clear, concise and to the point. The response should be structured to make it easy for the selection and recommendation committee to evaluate the firm's qualifications, professional capabilities, and experience.
- 2.7.4 Unnecessary and elaborate responses, beyond those sufficient to present a complete and effective response, are not desired and may be construed as an indication of a firm's lack of understanding of the Project and cost consciousness. Unless specifically requested in the RFP, elaborate artwork, corporate brochures, lengthy narratives, expensive paper, specialized binding, and other extraneous presentation materials are neither necessary nor desired. The response format instructions and guidelines are below:

Instruction	Description of Requirement	Violation Penalty or Disqualification
Submission	Electronic copy PDF (20 MB or less) of the Proposal. If the Proposal is greater than 20 MB, other arrangements shall be made with Ms. Ince to deliver the Respondents Proposal prior to the deadline. The City may print the prior to evaluation taking place. The legal/financial documentation may be submitted in a separate PDF but must be submitted with the rest of Proposal.	Disqualification
Page Limit	The Proposal has a maximum page limit of <u>8</u> pages. A page is defined as a single-sided 8.5" x 11" or 11"x17" sheet that contains text, pictures, tables, graphs, charts, plan sheets, or any other graphics. There is a limit to two 11"x17" sheets. The page limit does not apply to the Front and Back Covers, Cover Letter, Sample Estimate, Resumes, or Legal/Financial documentation, and tables if those pages do not contain supplemental information. Form BC Claim of Business Confidentiality does not apply to the page limit if it contains the exact same pages in the proposal that do count against the page limit and are simply presented in a redacted manner.	Additional pages will be removed
Margins	The guidelines are one inch (1") (or greater). Margins Exceptions: Respondent's Name/Logo and Page Headers/Footers may be within the margin.	Guideline



Font and Line Spacing	The guidelines for font size and spacing are 10 Pt (or greater) everywhere in the Proposal excluding drawings, graphics, matrices, tables, and schedules. Illegible drawings, graphics, matrices, tables, and schedules may cause the selection committee to downgrade a Respondent's score.	Guideline
Cover Letter	Provide a completed Cover Letter (one page only), not a part of the page limit, including a signature of the CMGC's authorized signatory and secondary contact. No evaluation points will be assigned for the letter. Cover letter shall state "I understand the acceptance and completion criteria of this RFP. Our CMGC Team shall comply with all City, State and/or Federal contracting requirements applicable to this Project. I understand City policies, procedures and processes may change during the duration of the Project and will comply with any changes required by the City. I have fully and accurately disclosed any debarment, license issues, safety documentation, and/or investigations being performed by any government entity." As authorized to sign for this CMGC team, I certify the content of this Proposal is true, accurate and all matters are fully disclosed as requested in the RFP. I understand any misinterpretations or failure to disclose matters in the Proposal is immediate grounds for disqualification and possible suspension of debarment by the City. I also acknowledge receipt of all proposal documentation.	Disqualification
Section and Subsection Headings	Use headers or titles to clearly identify in the Proposal where one section or subsection is finished, and another begins.	Guideline
General	Any additional Appendices or information provided by the Respondent but not requested by the City may be removed from the Proposal and will not be reviewed by the Evaluation Team.	Additional Pages will be removed

2.8 CMGC Scoring

- 2.8.1 The Selection Team will be the sole judge in the determination of the qualified Respondent from the finalists.
- 2.8.2 The RFP will be scored using the averaged total selection committee scores based on the formula below:
 - 1. Did the Respondent meet all pass/fail requirements? Yes or no?
 - 2. Criteria Weighting % x Rating (0-5) / 5 x Section Total Points Possible

2.9 Interviews

2.9.1 Up to three (3) qualified firms will be selected for an in-person interview. The City will notify the CMGC on the final format, date, time and location of the in-person interviews, currently anticipated to be held on Tuesday, October 8th, 2024.

2.10 Selection and Award

- 2.10.1 The City will select a qualified CMGC based on the final Interview scoring criteria outlined in the RFP.
- 2.10.2 The City and the CMGC will negotiate a fair and reasonable price for preconstruction phase CMGC services.
- 2.10.3 The selected CMGC will be recommended by City Staff to the City Council for approval. The successful proposer may commence work



only after the City delivers a fully executed preconstruction contract to that proposer.

2.11 Obligation to Award

The City reserves the right to not select any firm for the services based on this RFP, to accept or reject any or all submittals, or to cancel, at its discretion, this RFP at any time. Also, if the project cannot be delivered within the allocated budget and/or schedule, the City retains the option to cancel the Project, reduce the scope, or deliver the project by other means.

Once the final ranking is complete and upon approval by the City Council, the City will enter negotiations for a contract for the work subject to this RFP and Interviews. If an agreement cannot be reached with the first ranked CMGC, the next qualified CMGC will be contacted for contract negotiations.

2.12 Amend or Withdraw Proposal

A proposer may amend or withdraw its proposal any time prior to the time and date established for submission of proposals.

3. Qualifications

3.1 Experience / Responsibilities:

- 3.1.1 The Proposer shall have at least three (3) years' experience with public construction projects.
- 3.1.2 The key personnel shall have at least three (3) years' experience with CMGC construction delivery model.
- 3.1.3 Pursuant to the instructions of this RFP, the Proposer shall demonstrate this experience and qualifications in their ability to provide high quality results on current or past projects, specifically the construction services required (new, remodel, or tenant improvement) for police stations or substantially related complex building types.
- 3.1.4 The Proposer will be expected to assign a project manager who will be responsible to participate in each project for pre-construction phase services continuing into construction and project close-out, as well as full-time supervision, all labor, materials, plant, equipment, transportation and other facilities and services as necessary and/or required to execute all assigned Work. No illegitimate or capricious changes, including key personnel, will be allowed under any Contract.

4. Scope of Work

In general, CMGC services during this phase are anticipated to include the following:

4.1 CMGC Kickoff

- **4.1.1** Develop estimate of probable construction cost based on schematic design documents once CMGC contract is awarded. Estimate to include variance comparison of architects estimate prepared by their estimating consultant, Construction Focus.
- 4.1.2 Develop list of any long lead items that would impact project schedule or price. Review list with City and architect to develop strategies for
- 4.1.3 Collaborate with architect and Construction Focus to reconcile the estimates prior to next phase of design commencing.
- **4.1.4** Provide input to the City and the design team regarding current construction market bidding climate, status of key subcontract markets, and other local economic conditions. Recommend division of work (a "Procurement & Subcontracting Plan") to facilitate bidding and award of trade contracts, considering such factors as bidding climate, improving or accelerating construction completion, minimizing trade jurisdictional disputes, and related issues.

4.2 Design Phases

- **4.2.1** Participate in weekly design meetings with City and/or the design team to provide input on decisions that affect budget, phasing and/or schedule.
- **4.2.2** Consult with, advise, assist, and provide recommendations to the City and the design team on phasing, constructability and cost aspects of the project in achieving the highest quality construction within the budget and schedule while minimizing operational impacts to the station where feasible.
- 4.2.3 Review all design, specification and plan documents as they are developed and make value engineering and constructability



recommendations as well as review all for completeness, proper details, compliance with program and master plan requirements and adherence to codes or applicable agency requirements, reporting deficiencies, conflicts, and/or clarification questions identified to the design team.

- **4.2.4** Actively participate in one or more value engineering study, if it is determined that this exercise would be beneficial to the project outcome.
- **4.2.5** Continuously monitor the project schedule and recommend adjustments in the design documents of construction solicitation packaging to ensure completion of the project in the most expeditious manner possible.
- **4.2.6** Prepare detailed construction cost estimates for the project at an appropriate time. Notify the City and architect immediately if construction cost estimates appear to be exceeding the construction budget.
- 4.2.7 Work with City and architect to evaluate costs for various design solutions and maintain a running cost impact log.
- **4.2.8** Submit a proposed GMP to the City in conformance with CMGC Contract requirements. A complete copy of the GMP estimate, including all details, must be provided for City consideration.
- **4.2.9** Work with the City in identifying critical elements of the Work that may require special procurement processes, such as prequalification of offerors or alternative contracting methods.

5. Fee Proposal

Present a proposed fee for providing the CMGC services in two parts:

5.1 Preconstruction Services:

Identify an estimated total cost and proposed hourly billing rates for services to be provided during the design phase of the project, prior to establishment of the negotiated Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP). Identify activities, labor hours associated with each activity, proposed billing rates per hour for each person/position, and an estimate of expenses. This estimated cost will be the basis of negotiation of a not-to-exceed price for the preconstruction services contract with the City. This price will be the maximum amount due the CMGC if the CMGC's services are terminated or the project does not proceed to construction for any reason, and if all of the services had been provided prior to cancellation.

5.2 Construction Services:

- 5.2.1 Fixed Fee: Identify the fixed fee, as a percentage of the Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) for which the proposer's firm would contract to perform the required services. Identify what costs the proposer will include in the fixed fee. Identify all proposed project staff that would be included as part of the fixed fee. At a minimum, the Fixed fee shall include Corporate Overhead and Profit, costs for Performance and Payment bonds, Commercial General Liability/Auto Insurance, Builders Risk insurance, project executives, corporate office administrative expenses and support staff.
- 5.2.2 <u>General Conditions</u>: Identify and estimate the cost of expenses, other than direct construction labor and material costs, which will be included in the reimbursable cost of work as part of the General Conditions. Provide detailed breakdown estimate of General Conditions.



General Conditions Estimate Item	Unit of Measure	Unit Price	Estimated MONTHLY Total
Labor Foreman	Offic of Micasure	\$	\$
General Foreman		\$	\$
Other Foreman		\$	\$
Field Engineering		\$	\$
Field Supervision		\$	\$
Field Coordination		\$	\$
Project Coordination		\$	\$
Quality Control		\$	\$
Progressive Clean-up		\$	\$
Trade Coordination		\$	\$
First Aid & Safety		\$	\$
Temporary Office		\$	\$
Clerical/Secretarial Staffing		\$	\$
Office Supplies Equipment		\$	\$
Postage/Delivery		\$	\$
Temporary Toilets		\$	\$
Phones/Radios/Pagers		\$	\$
Printing/Reproduction		\$	\$
Vehicles, Fuel/Maintenance		\$	\$
Substance Abuse Testing		\$	\$
Material Handling		\$	\$
Other:		\$	\$
		MONTHLY TOTAL:	\$

Note: The unit prices and hourly rates are subject to change based on the July 5th BOLI/PWR publication for Oregon for the Umatilla County region.

<u>Self-performed Work</u>: Identify what portions of the work that the proposer anticipates to self-perform and what mark-ups are proposed to apply to the direct costs of this work. CMGC shall be required to publicly announce any work for those items which it intends to bid at least 15 days prior to the bid opening. Sealed bids will be delivered to and publicly opened by the City for any work which the CMGC intends to provide a bid to self-perform 24 hours before the bids are due.



6. Contract Terms and Conditions

- A draft CMGC contract has been prepared by Tonkon Torp LLP and will be issued in Addendum to this RFP as the proposed contract. The City will negotiate with the CMGC only for Preconstruction Phase Services. If an agreement cannot be reached with the selected Respondent, the next "qualified" Respondent will be contacted for contract negotiations. Any exceptions to the contract need to be listed in the respondents proposal.
- 6.1.2 If a GMP is accepted by the City, the City and the CMGC will negotiate and finalize Step 2 Construction Phase Services. If the GMP is not acceptable, the City reserves the sole right to cancel the Project and readvertise the Project using a traditional design-bid-build or other approach. The City anticipates negotiating contract provisions to address the following items:
 - A. CMGC to comply with the agreed upon and negotiated contract.
 - B. Fiscal Responsibility: The City anticipates that the CMGC will take fiscal responsibility for their actions or lack of actions. Cost impacts from poor CMGC coordination and execution, construction quality, schedule and quantity overruns, supply chain delays, management, etc., shall be borne by the CMGC. The CMGC's GMP is "at risk" in this respect.
 - C. CMGC Authority: Roles and responsibilities of the CMGC shall be clearly identified in the contract. The City will not delegate fiscal authority to the CMGC Team.
 - D. CMGC Prime's self-performed work: The CMGC is strongly encouraged to self-perform a major portion of the construction work that matches its skillsets in accordance with the City's and Oregon's statues and licensing requirements.
 - E. CMGC is strongly encouraged to utilize women and minority owned business enterprises. The City Council actively supports the recruitment of new businesses and support of existing businesses. Revitalization of downtown is a high priority and an important aspect of a healthy city, both socially, culturally and economically. Improvements to infrastructure is vitally important and city continues to make significant investments in street, water and sewer projects. City will continue to expand its efforts to build local economic capacity and adopt new models for encouraging business development; small business and entrepreneurship support; infrastructure investments targeted toward job creation; and connecting rural products to growing consumer markets.
 - F. Pricing Requirements: All CMGC pricing formats, fees and mark-ups will be open book and transparent and included as negotiated terms of the contract. The CMGC shall provide a breakout of overhead and profit to the City.
 - G. The GMP for discrete Project elements shall be provided by the CMGC when the Contract Documents are 90% and 100% complete and after bids are received. As Project elements are added, the GMP amount will be amended to include the additional work.
 - H. Language that requires City approval to changes in CMGC key Project personnel, with the purpose of providing as much stability and continuity as possible through the entire Project duration.
 - I. Language that requires an electronic system for providing construction Project documentation.

6.2 Non-Discrimination

City hereby notifies all firms that no person or organization shall be discriminated against based on race, religion, color, age, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award issued pursuant to this advertisement. To the extent the Respondent intends to subcontract any of the work subject to this RFP, the Respondent must include this notice in any agreements with CMGC team members. Additionally, minority, women, and disadvantaged business enterprises are encouraged to submit responses to Respondents and to this invitation.

6.3 General Conditions

- 6.3.1 This RFP does not commit the City to award a contract or to pay any costs incurred in preparing a submittal or to procure or contract for services or supplies. The City reserves the right not to select any CMGC for the services based on this RFP, to accept or reject any or all submittals, or to cancel, at its discretion, this request for qualifications at any time.
- 6.3.2 If the City is not satisfied with the performance of the CMGC, or if their prices are not acceptable, the City reserves the right to terminate



the CMGC process and readvertise the project by some other method.

- **6.3.3** City reserves the right to utilize the RFP process for any reason whatsoever and to contract for work regardless of project size, type, or estimated fee value.
- **6.3.4** City reserves the right to award work to other firms and to select and contract for work regardless of project size, type, or estimated fee value
- 6.3.5 Debarred or Suspended Party: City will not make any award or permit any award or contract at any tier to any party which is debarred, suspended or in any way is excluded from procurement actions by any State or Local governmental agency. If information becomes available, such evidence may be grounds for non-award or nullification of the Contract.

6.4 Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP)

The CMGC shall provide City with a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) which is the final fixed price that the CMGC agrees to accept in full performance of the CMGC Agreement and is based on the final contract drawings and specifications. The CMGC's GMP shall be open book and transparent and shall include all fees, including escalation, and percentages required by this RFP, as well as the costs for general conditions and all work as required in the Contract Documents.

6.5 Multiple Bid Packages

City may elect to allow work by the CMGC to be delivered in multiple bid packages. In that case, the successful CMGC will be required to submit a GMP for each of these packages. The sum or total of all the GMPs for these packages shall be the final GMP. Except for the Step 1 - Preconstruction Phase Services fee, all other GMPs for packages shall become part of the CMGC Agreement by modification. The final GMP is normally determined at the completion of the contract documents and receipt of the CMGC bids. However, a GMP may be negotiated at an earlier point if needed by City.

6.6 City-Controlled Contingency

City will maintain and manage the construction Contingency throughout the course of construction. The City, City's Architect, and CMGC will determine an approximate percentage which is expected between 6% - 7% and agreed upon between the City and the CMGC once the GMP is established. The amount of the contingency may be reduced after construction milestones are achieved, and the City may elect to incorporate additional elements to the Project using any contingency money. These additions would be incorporated via formal Change Order, in which case the CMGC shall provide construction costs and schedule impacts, if any, associated with the proposed change. This City-Controlled Contingency, if held, will be in an amount, determined by City, and inclusive in the overall Project budget, to properly account for potential increased cost due to changes in the work, made at the discretion of City, that were not anticipated by City, and are beyond the control of City and or the CMGC at the start of the Project and for possible cost escalation(s), if any. The City-Controlled Contingency is a fund allocation intended to cover, but is not limited to, the following:

- A. City-directed design or scope changes;
- B. Unforeseen site conditions.
- C. City-directed design revisions, changes or clarifications not represented in the Construction Documents used as the basis of the GMP (i.e., changes in the Documents between the 90% CD Set and Final Construction Set);

The City-Controlled Contingency fund allocation shall not be used for:

D. CMGC construction errors or omissions

6.7 License Requirements

Respondent shall meet Oregon State Law and have a valid public works contracting in the State of Oregon. The proposer must also be licensed by the Construction Contractors Board.

6.8 Insurance

The CMGC shall confirm in writing in its proposal response that it can provide the insurance coverage designated herein and in future Contract Provisions and pay all costs associated with the insurance coverage for Step 1 (Preconstruction) and Step 2 (Construction). Any submitted insurance policy, or certificate of insurance will name the City and City Consultants, if applicable, as named insureds, where appropriate, and such insurance



policy or certificates of insurance will be always kept and maintained in full force and effect during the term described in future Contract Provisions. The insurance policy or certificate of insurance must be filed with the City prior to commencing work under this contract and no insurer will cancel the policy or policies or certificate of insurance without first giving thirty (30) days written notice thereof to the CMGC and City, but the CMGC Team may, at any time, substitute a policy or policies or certificate of insurance of a qualified insurance company or companies of equal coverage for the policy or policies or certificate then on file with City.

- A. Commercial General Liability: \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, personal injury and property damage, \$2,000,000 aggregate. Broad Form Commercial General Liability is required (ISO 1993 or better) to include Products Comp/OP aggregate of \$2,000,000. Limits apply to this Project individually.
- B. Automobile Liability: \$1,000,000 per occurrence. "Any Auto" coverage is required.
- C. Workers' Compensation and Employers Liability: Workers' Compensation statutory limits with an employee liability of \$1,000,000.
- D. Professional Liability: \$1,000,000 per occurrence.

6.9 Bonding

- 6.9.1 The CMGC shall have the capability to obtain Payment and Performance Bonds for the full amount of the contract price for this Project. Performance and Payment Bonds will be required at the time the construction Contract is awarded. The final value of the Bonds will equal the negotiated amount of the construction Contract(s).
- 6.9.2 The surety submitting the letter certifying the Respondent's bonding capacity must be a surety company or companies licensed by the State of Oregon and listed in the current United States Department of the Treasury Circular 570 as acceptable sureties for the bond amount on Federal Bonds. Letters indicating "unlimited" bonding/security capability are not acceptable.

6.10 Performance of Services

- **6.10.1** The City intends that the Respondent awarded the contract will perform the Step 1 Preconstruction Phase Services commencing upon approval of contract and terminating upon design completion and an agreed upon GMP, unless terminated sooner with written notification by City.
- 6.10.2 The City intends that the Respondent awarded the Phase 1 contract will perform the Step 2 Construction Phase Services commencing upon an agreed upon GMP and terminating upon Project completion and acceptance. The City reserves the right to not proceed with Step 2 of the Project if the GMP is unacceptable, unfair, unreasonable, or indefensible.

6.11 Schedule

See the schedule of key activities and dates in the Scope of Work section. Note that the dates are tentative and subject to change.

6.12 Questions from Respondents

6.12.1 Each Respondent is responsible for reviewing the RFP documents and any Addenda issued by the City prior to the Proposal due date, and for requesting clarifications and interpretation of any material discrepancy, deficiency, ambiguity, error, or omission contained therein, or any provision that the Respondent otherwise fails to understand. Failure of the Respondent to examine and inform places the Respondent at the sole risk, and the City will provide no relief for error or omission. Questions about the Project or RFP must be submitted in writing or via email to the point of contact by the date and time listed in the schedule. It is the responsibility of the Respondent to follow up on any questions to ensure their receipt. Answers to questions will be released to all Respondents.

6.13 Requests for Clarification by City

- 6.13.1 The Respondent shall provide the City accurate and complete information as requested herein. Any insufficient and/or incomplete proposals will be returned directly to the Respondent by the City with notations of the insufficiencies or omissions and with a request for clarifications and/or submittal of corrected, supplemental, or missing documents. If a response is not received, the proposal may be declared non-responsive.
- 6.13.2 The City, in its sole discretion, may waive technical irregularities in the form of the proposal that do not alter the quality of the information in the proposal. The City may, in its sole discretion, request clarifications and/or supplemental information from Respondents during the



proposal evaluation and interview process.

6.14 Limitations of CMGC Selection

- **6.14.1** A recommendation by the City declaring a firm as "qualified" to perform the work referred to in this RFP is not intended, nor will it in any way be construed or considered, to be a contract or an exclusive guarantee to furnish the services associated with this RFP or any other work suitable to a firm of its type.
- 6.14.2 Any contract awarded will be nonexclusive and if it were in the City's best interest it may award work to other firms. Any contracts resulting from this RFP will be between the City and the Respondent and may be canceled upon written notification by the City.
- **6.14.3** The production of any schematic design, master plan or any other work produced as part of a scope of work, will not be a guarantee that the firm preparing it will have the exclusive right to perform any or all work associated with them.

6.15 Key Employee(s)

It is expected that the CMGC and its key employee(s) upon which the Respondent based its qualifications to perform the work of the RFP will be the ones who perform the services on behalf of the Respondent. Any proposed changes in these key personnel must be made in writing. Changes in key personnel shall give City the right to re-evaluate the qualifications of the Respondent.

6.16 Proposal Preparation Costs

All costs related to the preparation of a response to the RFP are the sole responsibility of the Respondent. The City assumes no liability for any costs incurred by the Respondents during the procurement and negotiation process.

6.17 Notice to Proceed

Notice to Proceed will be issued by the City. Respondents shall be familiar with the Contract Standard Terms and Conditions that is provided as a part of this RFP. The CMGC must be willing to enter into this agreement and conform to the Standard Terms and Conditions.

6.18 Applicable City, State and Federal Regulations

The Respondent shall conform to all applicable City, State and Federal regulations.

6.19 Debarment

City, State and Federal regulations require certification by prospective participants, including the CMGC and its subcontractors and principals as to current history regarding debarment, legibility, indictments, convictions, or civil judgements.

6.20 Protest Procedures

All protests shall be in writing and must be received no later than by close of business seven business days from the date the proposer was notified by the City of the selection decision and must follow all other applicable City and State requirements. Deliver all protests to Melissa Ince at the contact information above.

6.21 Rights of the City

- **6.21.1** The City may investigate the qualifications of any Respondent under consideration, may require confirmation of information furnished by a Respondent and may require additional evidence of qualifications to perform work described in the RFP.
- **6.21.2** The City reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to:
 - Use any ideas or information contained in a successful Proposal on this Project or with any subsequent projects, without obligation to compensate the Respondent. All ideas and information proposed as part of this procurement process will become the property of the City.
 - 2) Reject any or all Proposals.
 - 3) Issue a new RFP.
 - 4) Cancel, modify, or withdraw the entire RFP.



- 5) Cancel the award of any contract before execution without liability.
- Issue Addenda.
- 7) Modify the RFP and Interview process with appropriate notification to Respondents and prior to the due date. Consider additional time if required.
- 8) Solicit subsequent proposal revisions from Respondents.
- Appoint a Selection Team for the proposal and interview.
- 10) Hold meetings related to this RFP
- 11) Seek or obtain data from any source, including contacting references provided by the Respondent.
- 12) Refuse to accept a Proposal, once submitted, or reject a Proposal if it does not meet the RFP requirements, or an organizational conflict is present, or any other reason deemed important to the City.

6.22 Confidential/Proprietary Proposal Material

- **6.22.1** Any information contained in the Respondent's Proposal that is considered confidential/proprietary must be clearly designated and marked. Marking of the entire Proposal or entire sections as confidential/proprietary will not be honored and may render the submittal as non-responsive.
- **6.22.2** To the extent allowed under Oregon Law, proposals submitted for consideration will be held in confidence and become the property of the City, and not be made available to other Respondents for review or comparison until after award and contract execution.
- 6.22.3 If a request is made to view a Respondent's confidential/proprietary documents, records, or information, the City will comply strictly with the Public Records Act.
- 6.22.4 The City will notify the Respondent in writing of a public records request for records provided to City from the Respondent.
- 6.22.5 The Respondent will be notified in writing if City determines that the documents, records, or information are subject to disclosure. The Respondent shall take such legal actions as it deems necessary to protect its interests.
- 6.22.6 By submitting a proposal with portions marked "Confidential" or "Proprietary or Business Trade Secrets" or "Valuable Formulae, Designs, Drawings, Computer Source Code or Object Code, or Research Data" or the like, the Respondent has thereby agreed to the provisions of this section. If these terms are not acceptable, a Respondent should consider not replying to this Request for Proposals.



7. RFP and Interview Grading

The Selection Team will evaluate responses to the RFP based on the criteria given in the RFP score sheet in Appendix B. The criteria have been structured to make it clear to the Respondents and the Selection Team where content should be included. The Respondents are encouraged to include in each subsection the content they wish to be scored in that subsection.

Qualitative assessment guidelines that will be used by the Selection Committee for their review of the Respondent's Proposals and the interview.

Qualitative Assessment Guidelines

The Evaluation Team members will individually review and score each Proposal and interview category according to the criteria set forth in the RFP and interview instructions. Team members will evaluate each category sub-factor listed and assign those sub-factors a Qualitative Assessment Percentage according to the scoring range listed below:

Pass/Fail

The Respondent meets all pass/fail requirements. The Respondent has included all the appropriate passing requirements.

5 pts

The Respondent's qualifications are exceptional. The Respondent demonstrates significant experience and expertise and a complete understanding of the subject, qualifications, and an approach that significantly exceeds expectations and the stated requirements and objectives of this Project. The Proposal or Interview communicates an outstanding level of quality. The Proposal or Interview provides innovations that are exceptional.

4 pts

The Respondent's qualifications exceed the stated requirements. The Respondent demonstrates a strong understanding of the subject, qualifications, and an approach that exceeds expectations and the stated requirements and objectives of this Project. The Proposal or Interview communicates a high level of quality. The Proposal or Interview provides innovations that exceed the stated requirements.

3 pts

The Respondent's qualifications are adequate. The Respondent demonstrates a general understanding of the subject, qualifications, and an approach that contains some weaknesses/deficiencies regarding the stated requirements and objectives of this Project. The Proposal or Interview communicates an adequate level of quality. The Proposal or Interview provides innovations that are adequate.

2 pts

The Respondent's qualifications raise questions about the Respondent's ability to successfully complete the work. The Respondent demonstrates a vague understanding of the Project and an approach containing significant weaknesses/deficiencies regarding the stated requirements and objectives of this Project. The Proposal or Interview communicates a below adequate level of quality. The Proposal or Interview does not discuss innovations.

0-1 pts

The Respondent's qualifications do not indicate the likelihood that the work will be completed successfully. The Respondent demonstrates insufficient understanding of the subject and an approach that fails to meet the stated requirements and objectives of this Project. The Proposal or Interview communicates a low level of quality.



8. Interview Phase

8.1 Evaluation Criteria

Two days after the selection of finalists, the selection committee will create a shortlist for up to three (firms) and invite those qualified firms to an interview. The selection criteria and points allocation for the Interview will be provided to the Finalists within five days of notification. Finalists will be required to submit at the interview the following documentation:

- a summary of the finalist's accident prevention program and an overview of its implementation;
- a management plan that demonstrates the finalist's ability to meet time and budget requirements of the Project; and

After the finalists' responses to the RFP are received and the interviews are completed, the Selection Team will evaluate submissions at the RFP phase based on the formula contained in this RFP, above. Once the final ranking is complete, the Selection Team will recommend the qualified Respondent to the City Council for approval. Upon approval, the City will enter negotiations for a contract with the selected Respondent. If an agreement cannot be reached with the selected Respondent, the next "qualified" Respondent will be contacted for contract negotiations.

The City reserves the right to utilize new or revised evaluation criteria and weights to be used in evaluation of the Respondents in the Interview. If changes are made to the criteria or weights, they will be reduced to writing and be sent to the Interview candidates prior to conducting the interviews.

Selection Team members will use the applicable evaluation criteria and weights to evaluate Interview information. Previous clients may be contacted as part of the evaluation process. The recommendation committee will rank the firms and present their recommendation to the City Council for selection of the qualified firm.



9. Appendices

Appendix A – Submittal Forms

Appendix B - RFP Scoring Matrix

Appendix C – Example Contract

Appendix D – Schematic Design Drawings

Appendix E – Project Schedule



Appendix A - Submittal Forms

PART 1 - ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION
A. FIRM:
1. Name of CMGC:
2. Physical Address:
3. Mailing Address including zip code:
4. Remit to Address including zip code:
5. Telephone number including area code:
7. E-mail address for business correspondence:
8. Federal Tax Identification Number:
9. Oregon State Contractor's License:
10. Following is a listing of all engagements the Respondent has undertaken in the last five years which have resulted in:
(a) Arbitration or litigation and the disposition of the cases.
(b) Claims being filed by the Federal Government or the Oregon State Departments of Labor or the State Tax Commission.
(c) Liens filed by suppliers or subcontractor Teams. List with whom, for what, and amount.



B. INSURANCE COMPANYS(S) (list all that are relevant):

- 1. Type of insurance and name of company:
- 2. Mailing Address including zip code:
- 3. Insurance Agent Name:
- 4. Insurance Agent Telephone number including area code:
- 6. Insurance Agent email address:
- 7. Insurance Agent's certification that the CMGC is properly insured



Print name and title

PART 2 - CERTIFICATIONS, ASSURANCES AND BENEFICIAL INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM

Complete the Certifications, Assurances, and Beneficial Interest Disclosure Form. The form begins on the following page. BENEFICIAL INTEREST DISCLOSURE STATEMENT Respondents must disclose all personal relatives, or any relatives of the Respondent's employees or subcontractor, who are presently employed by City, or who stand to realize any financial gain or beneficial interest if a contract is awarded to the Respondent or any subcontractor of the Respondent for the work of this RFP. The Respondent certifies below that there are no persons meeting the criteria above that have any beneficial interest in the work of this RFP. (CHECK YES OR NO BELOW). □ YES □ NO If NO, list below, the name of the person, organization and relationship and interest. (Add additional pages if necessary) **CERTIFICATION** Name of CMGC: Signature, Administrator, or Applicant Agency Date



Certifications, Assurances, and Beneficial Interest Disclosure Form

- 1. The following certifications and assurances are given as a required element of the submission to City with the understanding the truthfulness of the facts affirmed here and the continuing compliance with these requirements and all requirements of the Request for Proposals for the Upgrades Project are conditions precedent to the award or continuation of the related Agreement(s) and that:
- 2. In preparing this response, no assistance has been rendered by any current or former employee of City whose duties relate, or did relate, to this RFP, or prospective Agreement, and who was assisting in other than his or her official, public capacity; and
- 3. No officer or employee of the City, having the power or duty to perform an official act or action related to this submittal, shall have, or acquire any interest in this submittal, or have solicited, accepted or granted a present or future gift, favor, service, or other thing of value from or to any person involved in this submittal; and
- 4. We understand that City can terminate the Project at any point. The CMGC shall neither have nor assert any claim for, nor be entitled to any additional compensation for damages or for loss of anticipated profits on work that is eliminated, and that the City would make reimbursement for satisfactory work completed; and
- 5. We understand that City will not reimburse the CMGC for any costs incurred in the preparation of this submittal and that this submittal becomes the property of City. We claim no proprietary right to the ideas, writings, items, or samples. Submission of the attached submittal constitutes agreement to abide by the procedures described in the CMGC document; and
- 6. We understand that any Agreement awarded because of the submittal will incorporate all the RFP requirements of City, and all agreement terms and conditions appearing in the RFP. Submission of a response and execution of this Certifications and Assurances document certify the Respondent's willingness to comply with these or substantially similar terms if selected as a CMGC. It is further understood that under no circumstances will a respondent-submitted contract/agreement be considered as a replacement for the terms and conditions appearing in this RFP; and
- 7. In submitting this submittal we have read and understand the RFP documents, that we have visited the site and/or have otherwise familiarized our self with the local conditions under which the work is to be performed, that by signature of this certification we are acknowledging all requirements and signed all certificates contained herein and that no allowance will be sought after proposals are received for oversight, omission, error, or by our mistake; and
- 8. In submitting the submittal to do the work or furnish goods and services as outlined in the Contract Specifications, I hereby certify that we have not been debarred, suspended, ineligible for, or otherwise excluded from participation in Federal Assistance programs under Executive Order 12449, Title 31 U.S. Code 6101 Note, Executive Order 12689, Title 48 Code of Federal Regulation 9.404, "Debarment and Suspension". Further I certify that this firm will not contract with a subcontractor that is likewise debarred, suspended, ineligible for, or otherwise excluded, as referenced in the foregoing Executive Orders, U.S. Codes and Codified Federal Regulations; and



Print name and title
By: Signature, Administrator, or Applicant Agency Date
Name of CMGC Party:
CERTIFICATION
12. In addition to the foregoing certifications and assurances I certify that to the best of the Respondent's knowledge and belief the informatio contained in this submittal is accurate and complete and that I have the legal authority to commit this agency to a contractual agreement. I realize the final funding for any service is based upon annual budget amounts approved by City.
11. In submitting this response as outlined in the specifications, I hereby certify that we have not been debarred, suspended or in any way are exclude from procurement actions by any State or Local governmental agency. We fully understand that, if information contrary to this certification subsequent becomes available, such evidence may be grounds for non-award or nullification of the Contract; and
10. The Respondent agrees to comply with audit requirements outlined in OMB Circular A-133 "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Prof Organizations."; and
9. The Respondent agrees to comply with City requirements to follow cost principles outlined in the federal Office of Budget and Management (OME Circular A-87 (State, Local, or Indian Tribal Governments) for financial disbursements under its Grant Agreement; and



Appendix B – RFP Scoring Matrix

Umatilla Police Station CMGC RFP Score Sheet			
Evaluator:		CMGC Proposer:	
1. Pass/Fail Re	quirements		
	P F		
Front/Back Cover		The front and back covers will not be scored but may be prepared at the discretion of the Respondent.	
Pass/Fail – Not a part of the page count		Cover Letter. See requirements stated above.	
Pass/Fail – Not a part of the page count. Include in Appendix A.		Evidence of proper Oregon State operating licenses for all Contractors who will conduct business or perform associated contract and design work.	
Pass/Fail – Not a part of the page count. Include in Appendix B.		Form BC (Claim of Business Confidentiality) identifying confidential information contained in the Proposal.	
Pass/Fail – Not a part of the page count. Include in Appendix B.		An auditor's letter for each Principal Participant (15% of fee or greater) indicating the Respondents are viable and not subject to bankruptcy.	
Pass/Fail – Not a part of the page count. Include in Appendix B.		Each Respondent shall include a letter from a surety company indicating that the Respondent can obtain Payment and Performance Bonds for the full value of the contract price (estimated at \$15-16M) for this Project. The surety submitting the letter must be a surety company or companies licensed by the State of Oregon and listed in the current United States Department of the Treasury Circular 570 as acceptable sureties for the bond amount on Federal Bonds. Letters indicating "unlimited" bonding/security capability are not acceptable	



	2. Team (55 points)				
		Weighted			
Criteria	Rating	Points			
Weighting	0-5 pts	Given	Team Evaluation Criteria		
10% of Team Score			CMGC Organizational Overview		
			Introduce the CMGC organization and key personnel and describe the capabilities, technical qualifications, capacity to perform on this Project, resources and advantages the team will bring to the Project. Explain how the CMGC will function to the benefit of the Project and how the Team will interact with the City and Owner's Representative. Provide an organizational chart which may be on an 11"x17" sheet which will be counted towards the page limitation. Any additional information provided on this page that is not related to the CMGC's organization will not be evaluated and scored.		
40% of Team Score			Key Personnel		
00010			Provide the following information for Key Personnel:		
			Name, title, years of experience (including CMGC experience)		
			 Description of role, responsibilities, and availability for Preconstruction and/or Construction Phases Discuss current workloads 		
			 Education, knowledge, and skills, including licenses and certifications or other qualifications and technical qualifications to perform on this Project 		
			 How does this person's qualifications apply to their role on this Project, capacity to perform, and how will the Project benefit? 		
			Two references from past projects Key Personnel: Project Manager, Superintendent, Lead Project Controls (Estimator/Scheduler) and two added value personnel		
50% of Team Score			Table of Past Experience		
Score			Provide a table containing similar CMGC and recent relevant construction project experience for that the Key Personnel over the last 10 years. The table should include the following for each project:		
			 Project name, location, alternative delivery method (CMGC or CMAR, PDB, DB, other) Client/owner 		
			 Respondent was Prime or Subcontractor Dates (Award of Contract, Substantial Completion and Actual Completion Date and reasons for differences) 		
			 Cost performance (initial bid, final construction cost and reasons for differences) Names and roles of the proposed personnel that worked on the project 		
			 Brief description of project and scope Brief description of challenges and successful methods, approaches, and innovations to overcome those challenges 		
			 The CMGC's past performance of utilizing disadvantaged/small business enterprises, consistent with Umatilla goals and Oregon Law, and the CMGC's past performance in utilization of small business entities 		
			 Demonstration that the CMGC has the capability to obtain Payment and Performance Bonds for the full amount of the contract price for this Project. Performance and Payment Bonds will be required at the time the construction Contract is awarded. 		
			 Provide two reference contacts with current phone numbers. At least one reference should be a project Owner. 		
Team Total Po	ints				



3.Approach (35 points total)				
Criteria Weighting	Rating 0-5 pts	Weighted Points Given	Approach Evaluation Critaria	
Weighting 5% of	υ - υ μιδ	Giveii	Approach Evaluation Criteria Overview	
Approach			Overview	
Score			Describe the Respondent's general approach during preconstruction phase including how the CMGC will implement and execute the CMGC process.	
25% of Approach			Preconstruction Phase Design Support	
Score			Describe the Respondent's approach during the preconstruction phase services to support value engineering, innovation and risk mitigation among other approaches to meet the City's goals for this project through the GMP milestone.	
15% of			Risk Management	
Approach Score			Describe the Respondent's approach to manage the risks and opportunities identified in this RFP and others not mentioned. Prepare a risk/opportunity/issue matrix identifying the relevant risks, potential impacts, and suggested mitigation strategies.	
15% of			Budget	
Approach Score			Describe the Respondent's approach during the design development phase to ensure and demonstrate fair market pricing through the Construction Price Proposals, including the GMP, at each milestone while keep the Project within budget; this factor concerns the Respondent's ability to manage the budget and is not aimed at any actual costs or pricing.	
15% of			Schedule	
Approach Score			Describe the Respondent's approach to managing the preconstruction and construction schedules. Include a schedule graphic depicting the major activities, critical path and associated durations and times.	
25% of			Construction	
Approach Score			Describe the Respondent's overall approach to constructing a safe, quality and well-kept Project while minimizing impacts to the City and other stakeholders, including relevant third parties. Provide a graphic depicting the CMGC's last three (3) years EMR safety record. Describe the Respondent's approach to sub-contracting, including topics such as methods and intentions for prequalifying Subcontractors, methods for soliciting cost estimates during design and bids from subcontractors, and perceived significance of Prime/subcontractor office proximity to the site. Discuss the Respondent's strategies and approach for arranging and packaging subcontract bid packages to encourage the participation of local and disadvantaged firms. Elaborate on how this CMGC Team will be a benefit to the Project and the City.	
Approach Tota	l Points			



4.Sample Estimate (10 pts total)			
Criteria Weighting	Rating 0-5 pts	Weighted Points Given	Sample Estimate Evaluation Criteria
100% of Sample Estimate Score	·	Orten	Provide a sample CMGC estimate for the bid schedule items listed below indicating what the Respondent will provide the City with as a part of the cost estimating process. Also, provide the type of software that will be utilized. This sample estimate will not be evaluated for cost or pricing but, instead, is used to evaluate the Respondents approach in collaboratively working with the City's Engineer to develop parallel estimates in an open-book and transparent way. Clearly identify and describe the contributing costs and assumptions that demonstrate transparency – including risk and profit percentages, mark-ups, schedule, etc. The values provided should be conceptual (not actual) and will not be evaluated for the actual costs or prices listed or considered "contractual". Do not include supplemental information that will not be evaluated. Supplemental information will be removed and will not be considered. The estimate is not considered a part of the 8-page limit, does not have a maximum page limit and should be included in the Appendix B. Sample Estimate Items: • Utilities relocation • Site Grading • Structural Concrete
Estimate Total Points			
5.Summary of Evaluation Criteria for Proposals			roposals
Weighting	Points Possible	Weighted Points	Summary
Pass/Fail	-	. 511160	Legal and Financial
55%	55		Team
35%	35		Approach
10%	10		Sample Estimate
100%	100		Total Points